

AGOC  
April 19, 2007  
Minutes

Present: Mike McDaniel, Julie Isaacson, Noah Kasraie, Bill Humphrey,  
Mitch Holifield, Joanna Grymes

McDaniel sent the statement regarding the AGOC's concerns to Dr. Potts, who has 15 working days to provide a response (under the new procedures).

There was discussion about the end-of-year report with respect to the AGOC's responsibilities: provide an annual review of the academic governance process and an every 3 years survey of faculty satisfaction with the governance process.

Consensus was that the process for how committees provide information to the AGOC in order to conduct an annual review of the process were created but not communicated to all shared governance committees. The report will provide recommendations for what shared governance committees should do beginning this next academic year so that the AGOC can clearly identify the how well the process is working. Dr. Potts and the VPAA&R both provided offers for clerical support to the committee to maintain information from the shared governance committees (tracking the governance process activities).

The report would include issues the AGOC responded to this year, including the composition of the Honor's Council, the role of the Graduate Student Council, and the addition of grantsmanship to the faculty awards.

Another issue brought forward for consideration but that, at this time we will just begin to look for information, was the inconsistency between the published procedures for bringing in faculty candidates for an on-campus interview and the current practice.

Mike will create a draft of the report for the committee to review. The committee will meet on May 4 to discuss the draft.